

FishGen Project meeting 10th of February 2005

Meeting place: institute of Marine Research

Time: 12:00-17:00

Institutions present: Fiskeriforskning, University of Tromsø, Norges Veterinærhøgskole, Akvaforsk, Cigene/UMB, University of Oslo, NIFES, University of Bergen, Havforskningsinstituttet

Chairman: Ole Torrissen, Havforskningsinstituttet

Final decision:

To deliver an integrated proposal, and keep it within the agreed frames of approximately 2 mill NOK per specific projects and 3 mill NOK per common resource packages.

Final goal: To deliver a high-quality proposal based on a co-operation between equal parts.

Those who clearly voiced their support in favour of a “total package”:

1. Fiskeriforskning
2. NIFES
3. University of Bergen
4. University of Tromsø
5. Havforskningsinstituttet

- A declaration from each participating institute must be made to follow the proposal. Deadline: Tuesday 15 February
 - The individual proposals are currently very differently laid out. It is crucial that they all follow the same style and format as anticipated in the outline, which is available at the web-page.
 - All proposals should be submitted as one application.
 - Frank Nilsen compiles, and ensures that the papers are formatted alike.
 - All proposals must be submitted by Thursday 24th 1600hrs. In the case the proposals are not received within this deadline, the owner is considered not wanting to participate.
-

Anything to alter in the FishGen model?

- Crucial to create as many linkages between the sub-projects and work packages as possible
- Cases that are lacking synergy between the common and the specific projects, those should be sent separately
- Each institution must prioritise which projects that should be included
- belief that joint application is of greater value than individual
- belief that as many genes as possible should be included in the study

Budget discussion:

- One participant believed that the Board’s reaction to receiving several applications should be considered, and that the more individual proposals submitted – the greater the chances are to receive funding
- There is a concern that the current structure of the proposal is bureaucratic

Ole Torrissen